THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

W.P.No.7945 of_2005

Dated:21.09.2006

Between
B.Laxmi Devi

…..Petitioner

and

1.The Mandal Revenue Officer,
Atlur Mandal, Kadapa District
and another

…Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

W.P.No.7945 of_2005

ORDER:

It appears that in pursuance to a notification dated 03.01.2005 issued by the Revenue Divisional Officer, Rajampeta, the petitioner has applied for authorization of the fair price shop dealership of Atluru village and Mandal, Rajampeta Divimapeta, Kadapa District. Thereafter, a second notification was issued for filing up the same vacancy on 21.3.2005. Aggrieved by the same, the present writ petition is filed.

It is the case of the petitioner that the impugned notification dated 21.3.2005 was issued without considering the interviews held pursuant to the notification dated 3.1.2005 and without cancelling the said notification. Therefore, the same is illegal and arbitrary.

A detailed counter affidavit has been filed by

the 2nd respondent. In the counter, it is asserted that the petitioner, a resident of Atlur village, has applied for the dealership of Atluru village with 9th Class educational qualification. In pursuance to the notification dated 3.1.2005, five applications were received and interview was conducted on 25.1.2005. On perusal of her educational qualification, performance and knowledge on PDS, it was felt that she did not possess requisite education qualification of 10th Class apart from having poor knowledge on PDS. Even, the remaining four candidates, who attended along with her did not fare well and some of them belong to OC community. Hence, the earlier notification dated 3.1.2005 was cancelled and ordered for re-notification.

I am of the opinion that no case is made out by the petitioner for interfering with the notification issued by the Revenue Divisional Officer, dated 2.13.2005. Writ petition is devoid of merits and is liable to be dismissed.

Accordingly, the writ petiton is dismissed. No

costs.

_____

21-09-2006

rkk